KIT, ITP SS 2018

## Theoretische Teilchenphysik 1

Lecture: Prof. Dr. M. M. Mühlleitner Exercises: Prof. Dr. M. M. Mühlleitner, P. Basler, M. Krause

## Exercise sheet 6

Release: 21 May 2018 Submission: 28 May 2018 Tutorials: 30 May 2018

Lecture website: https://www.itp.kit.edu/courses/ss2018/ttp1

## Exercise 15: Spinor algebra

(1+2+3+2+3 = 11 points)

We want to examine the underlying algebra of fermionic structures. We consider the spinors

$$u(\vec{p},s) = \sqrt{p_0 + m} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_s \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{p_0 + m} \varphi_s \end{pmatrix} \qquad v(\vec{p},s) = \sqrt{p_0 + m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{p_0 + m} \varphi_s \\ \varphi_s \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $s \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}, \vec{\sigma}$  was given in exercise 1 and

$$\varphi_{\pm 1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \varphi_{\mp 1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad p_0 = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2},$$

where the upper sign applies for u and the lower sign for v.

(a) Prove that

$$(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) = \vec{p}^2 1_{2 \times 2}$$
.

(b) By using the explicit Dirac representation of the Dirac matrices (cf. exercise 1), prove that the spinors u and v are solutions to the Dirac equations

$$(\not p - m)u(\vec p) = 0,$$
  
$$(\not p + m)v(\vec p) = 0.$$

(c) Prove the following orthogonality relations for the spinors u and v:

$$u^{\dagger}(\vec{p},s)u(\vec{p},s') = 2p_{0}\delta_{ss'} , \quad v^{\dagger}(\vec{p},s)v(\vec{p},s') = 2p_{0}\delta_{ss'} ,$$

$$u^{\dagger}(\vec{p},s)v(-\vec{p},s') = 0 , \quad v^{\dagger}(\vec{p},s)u(-\vec{p},s') = 0 ,$$

$$\overline{u}(\vec{p},s)u(\vec{p},s') = 2m\delta_{ss'} , \quad \overline{v}(\vec{p},s)v(\vec{p},s') = -2m\delta_{ss'} ,$$

$$\overline{u}(\vec{p},s)v(\vec{p},s') = 0 , \quad \overline{v}(\vec{p},s)u(\vec{p},s') = 0 .$$

where  $\overline{u} = u^{\dagger} \gamma^0$  and  $\overline{v} = v^{\dagger} \gamma^0$ .

 $\mathit{Hint:}$  Use  $\gamma^0$  in the explicit Dirac representation.

(d) Prove the following completeness relations of the spinors u and v,

$$\sum_{s=-1/2}^{+1/2} u_{\alpha}(\vec{p}, s) \bar{u}_{\beta}(\vec{p}, s) = (\not p + m)_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\sum_{s=-1/2}^{+1/2} v_{\alpha}(\vec{p}, s) \bar{v}_{\beta}(\vec{p}, s) = (\not p - m)_{\alpha\beta},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are indices in spin space.

*Remark:* These relations, also called *spin sums*, are very useful for practical calculations of fermionic scattering processes later on.

(e) Prove the Gordon identities for the spinor u,

$$0 = \bar{u}(p)[(p-q)^{\mu} + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}(p+q)_{\nu}]u(q) ,$$

$$\bar{u}(p)\gamma^{\mu}u(q) = \frac{1}{2m}\bar{u}(p)[(p+q)^{\mu} + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}(p-q)_{\nu}]u(q) ,$$

$$\bar{u}(p)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}u(q) = \frac{1}{2m}\bar{u}(p)[(p-q)^{\mu}\gamma^{5} + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}(p+q)_{\nu}\gamma^{5}]u(q) ,$$

where  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ , purely algebraically, i.e. without using the explicit representation of u given above.

Hint: Use the Dirac equations.

## Exercise 16: Quantization of the fermionic field

(3+3+3 = 9 points)

We can now describe a fermionic field  $\psi(x)$  as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{s=-1/2}^{+1/2} \psi_s(x) = \int d\tilde{k} \sum_{s=-1/2}^{+1/2} \left[ a_s(\vec{k}) u(\vec{k}, s) e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_s^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) v(\vec{k}, s) e^{ik \cdot x} \right].$$

(a) The Lagrangian of a free fermionic field is invariant under a U(1) transformation  $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi(x)$ . The Noether charge Q associated to this symmetry is given by

$$Q = \int d^3 x \, \overline{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi \,.$$

Express Q through the operators  $a, a^{\dagger}, b, b^{\dagger}$  and interpret the results.

(b) By using the anti-commutators of  $a, a^{\dagger}, b, b^{\dagger}$ , calculate the anti-commutator  $\{\psi_r(\vec{x}, t), \psi_s^{\dagger}(\vec{y}, t)\}$ . What is the physical meaning of the fields  $\psi^{\dagger}$  and  $\psi$ ?

We now consider a coupling of fermions to a photon field. Through the minimal coupling by means of the covariant derivative  $D = \partial + iqA$ , the Dirac equation becomes

$$(i\not\!\!D-m)\psi=0.$$

(c) Prove that in the non-relativistic limit, i.e. for  $|\vec{p}| \ll m$ , this equation transforms to the *Pauli equation* given by

$$i\partial_t \rho = \left[ \left( \frac{\vec{\pi}^2}{2m} + q\varphi \right) - \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} \right] \rho ,$$

with the magnetic moment being  $\vec{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \vec{S}$ , where g=2 is the gyromagnetic factor,  $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$  is the magnetic field,  $\vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - q\vec{A}$  is the canonical momentum and  $\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$  is the spin operator.

Hint: Use the ansatz  $\psi = e^{-ip\cdot x} \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$  for the spinor to split the Dirac equation into two equations for the up-type and down-type spinor  $\rho$  and  $\chi$ , respectively. In the non-relativistic limit, argue why  $\chi$  is heavily suppressed compared to  $\rho$ , which allows you to use  $(i\partial_t - q\varphi)\chi \approx 0$  in the system of the two equations.