

Einführung in Theoretische Teilchenphysik

Lecture: PD. Dr. S Gieseke – Exercises: Dr. D. López-Val, Dr. S. Patel, Dr. M. Rauch

			Exercise Sheet 4
<u>Submission</u> : Mo, 20.11.17, 12:00			
Discussion:	Mon, 20.11.17 Wed, 22.11.17		,

Exercise 1: Flavor-spin wave function of a hadron

To completely characterize the quantum state of hadrons, we need a product wave-function which factorizes into several pieces, each of them corresponding to separate Hilbert spaces: i) a **spatial** part, describing the relative location and motion of the quarks; ii) a **spin** part, representing the orientation of their spins; iii) a **flavor** part, indicating the quark type (e.g. u, d); and the **color** part, which specifies the individual quark color charges.

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\rm hadron} = |\Psi^{\rm space}\rangle \otimes |\Psi^{\rm spin}\rangle \otimes |\Psi^{\rm flavor}\rangle \otimes |\Psi^{\rm color}\rangle$$

From **Pauli's Exclusion Principle** we know that the total wave function must be antisymmetric under the permutation of two quarks ¹.

For the spatial part, one assumes the lowest-lying hadronic states to be bound states of (anti)quarks with no relative angular momenta, $\vec{L} = 0$. The spatial wave function is therefore symmetric. The spin state can be either completely symmetric $(j = s = \frac{3}{2})$ or of mixed symmetry $(j = s = \frac{1}{2})$. Finally, due to color confinement, all hadron states are color singlets, hence $|\Psi_{color}\rangle$ is completely antisymmetric.

(a) Bearing all these ingredients in mind, convince yourself that the spin-flavor wave-function of the state Δ^{++} from the baryon decouplet is given by (quite trivial!)

 $|\Psi\rangle^{\text{flavor-spin}}_{\Delta^{++}} = |uuu\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = |u\uparrow u\uparrow u\uparrow u\uparrow\rangle .$

(b) Using the same notation, write down the *normalized* spin-flavor wave function for Δ^+ $(J = \frac{1}{2}$ und bound state) with spin down $m_j = -\frac{1}{2}$. If it was feasible to pull one particle apart, what would be the probability that the first quark would be a d-quark with spin up?

Constructing $|\Psi\rangle^{\text{flavor}} \otimes |\Psi\rangle^{\text{spin}}$ for states of the baryon octet is a little trickier, as we must combine states of mixed symmetry to make a completely symmetric combination. The general recipee is:

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle^{\text{flavor}} \otimes \left|\Psi\right\rangle^{\text{spin}} = N\left\{ \left|\Psi_{12}^{\text{flavor}}\right\rangle \left|\Psi_{12}^{\text{spin}}\right\rangle + \left|\Psi_{13}^{\text{flavor}}\right\rangle \left|\Psi_{23}^{\text{spin}}\right\rangle + \left|\Psi_{23}^{\text{flavor}}\right\rangle \left|\Psi_{23}^{\text{spin}}\right\rangle \right\},$$

where Ψ_{ij} denote a WF with mixed symmetry, viz. antisymmetric under the $i \leftrightarrow j$ quark-pair exchange. This way, the product wave function $\Psi_{ij}^{\text{flavor}} \otimes \Psi_{ij}^{\text{spin}}$ is symmetric under such quark exchange.

(c) Write down the *six* mixed symmetry spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ wave functions $|\Psi_{ij}^{\text{spin}}\rangle$ for i, j = 1, 2, 3. Notice that exactly the same structure applies to the isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ flavor wave function.

¹Notice that we treat all quarks as identical particles, regardless of their spin, flavor or color. These degrees of freedom correspond to different possible states of a single type of particle.

(d) From the above result, show that the spin-flavor wave function of a proton with spin up can be written as:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle_p^{\text{flavor-spin}} &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \Big[2 \left| u \uparrow u \uparrow d \downarrow \rangle + 2 \left| u \uparrow d \downarrow u \uparrow \rangle + 2 \left| d \downarrow u \uparrow u \uparrow \rangle - \left| u \uparrow u \downarrow d \uparrow \right\rangle \\ &- \left| u \uparrow d \uparrow u \downarrow \rangle - \left| d \uparrow u \uparrow u \downarrow \rangle - \left| u \downarrow u \uparrow d \uparrow \right\rangle - \left| u \downarrow d \uparrow u \uparrow \rangle - \left| d \uparrow u \downarrow u \uparrow \right\rangle \Big] \,. \end{split}$$

(e) The interaction of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle with a classical magnetic field \vec{B} is governed by $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{pauli}} = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$, where the magnetic moment operator is given by the 3rd component projection, $\hat{\mu}_z = \frac{q}{2m}\hat{S}_z$. Show that the magnetic moment of the proton can be written in terms of the up and down-quark magnetic moments as $\mu_p = \frac{1}{3}(4\mu_u - \mu_d)$, where $\mu_u = \frac{2}{3}(\frac{e}{2m_u})$ and $\mu_d = -\frac{1}{3}(\frac{e}{2m_d})$. By direct analogy, evaluate the neutron magnetic moment μ_n and compare the ratio $\frac{\mu_p}{\mu_n}\Big|_{\text{theory}}$ to the experimental measurement $\frac{\mu_p}{\mu_n}\Big|_{\exp} = -0.68497945(58)$.

<u>*Hint:*</u> For the numerical estimate, recall that $m_u = m_d$ under the assumption of isospin invariance.

Exercise 2: Poincaré Group: the Pauli-Lubanski Operator

The spin of a moving particle can be written in terms of the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector

$$W^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P_{\nu} M_{\rho\sigma} \qquad \qquad W_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^{\sigma} M^{\nu\rho}$$

where $M^{\nu\rho} = i(x^{\nu}\partial^{\rho} - x^{\rho}\partial^{\nu})$ denotes the relativistic angular momentum tensor operator, and $P^{\sigma} = i\partial^{\sigma}$ is the 4-momentum.

We define the generalized Levi-Civita symbol in four dimensions as:

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \{\mu,\nu,\rho,\sigma\} \text{ is an even permutation of } \{1,2,3,0\} \\ -1 \text{ if } \{\mu,\nu,\rho,\sigma\} \text{ is an even permutation of } \{0,1,2,3\} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases},$$

with $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}g^{\rho\gamma}g^{\sigma\delta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.$

Prove the following properties:

- (a) The W^{μ} components for a particle at rest are $(0, -m\vec{J})$,
- (b) $[M_{\alpha\beta}, P_{\mu}] = i(g_{\mu\beta}P_{\alpha} g_{\mu\alpha}P_{\beta}),$
- (c) $W_{\mu}P^{\mu} = 0$, and $[W^{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0$,
- (d) P^2 and W^2 are the Casimir operators of the Poincaré group, *i.e.*, that they commute with all its generators, $[P^2, P_{\mu}] = [P^2, M_{\mu\nu}] = 0$ and $[W^2, P_{\mu}] = [W^2, M_{\mu\nu}] = 0$ (you **do not need** to prove the last property, $[W^2, M_{\mu\nu}] = 0$, the calculation is really tedious.).
- (e) Knowing (no proof required) that $W^2 = -\frac{1}{2}M_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}P^2 + M_{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\rho}P^{\mu}P_{\nu} W^2|\mathbf{p} = 0, m, j\rangle = -m^2 j(j+1)|\mathbf{p} = 0, m, j\rangle$ where $|\mathbf{p} = 0, m, j\rangle$ is an eigenvector for a particle of mass m, momentum \mathbf{p} , and total angular momentum j.