Sheet 5
Release: 14.11.18
Tutorial: 21.11.18

Exercises: Stefan Liebler (stefan.liebler@kit.edu) (Office 12/03 - Build. 30.23) Shruti Patel (shruti.patel@kit.edu) (Office 12/14 - Build. 30.23)

## Exercise 1: Propagator of the gauge field in the Stueckelberg Lagrangian

We consider the Stueckelberg Lagrangian of a single free massive gauge field given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu})^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} A^{\mu} A_{\mu} \,.$$

Therein we use the Abelian field strength tensor defined by  $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}$  as well as a covariant gauge fixing term employing the free parameter  $\xi$  and a mass term with mass m.

(a) Derive the equation of motion for the gauge field, for which you should obtain

$$\left[ (\Box + m^2) g^{\mu\nu} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \right] A_{\nu} = 0 \, .$$

Hint: You can use the functional derivative of the action  $S = i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $A_{\rho}$  or use the Euler-Lagrange equation for the pair  $A_{\rho}$  and  $\partial_{\rho}A_{\sigma}$ . Be careful to perform the derivatives with respect to a new index  $A_{\rho}$  and thus add  $\delta^{\rho}_{\mu,\nu,...}$  where appropriate.

(b) Fourier transformation  $(\partial_{\mu} \to ik_{\mu})$  of this equation allows to determine the Green's function  $\Delta_{\nu\rho}(k)$  in momentum space

$$\left[ (-k^2 + m^2)g^{\mu\nu} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)k^{\mu}k^{\nu} \right] \Delta_{\nu\rho}(k) = \delta^{\mu}_{\rho}.$$

Make the ansatz  $\Delta_{\nu\rho}(k) = A(k^2)g_{\nu\rho} + B(k^2)k_{\nu}k_{\rho}$  and determine  $A(k^2)$  and  $B(k^2)$  by equating the coefficients. You should obtain

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{m^2}}{k^2 - m^2} - \frac{\frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{m^2}}{k^2 - \varepsilon m^2}.$$

(c) Discuss the cases  $\xi \to 0$  (Landau gauge),  $\xi \to 1$  (Feynman gauge) and  $\xi \to \infty$  (unitary gauge) as well as  $m \to 0$ . Compare the latter result with the result of the gluon propagator obtained in the lecture.

## Exercise 2: Propagator of the gluon field in axial gauge

Rather than choosing the gauge fixing term in the previous exercise an alternative choice is the axial gauge (Arnowitt-Fickler gauge), which in covariant form can be written in the form  $n_{\mu}A^{\mu,a}=0$  with an arbitrary constant vector  $n_{\mu}$ . The for our purposes relevant part of the action therefore takes the form

$$S = i \int d^4x \left[ -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu,a} F^a_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\xi} (n_\mu A^{\mu,a})^2 - \overline{\eta}^a \left( \frac{1}{g} n^\mu \right) (D_\mu)_{ab} \eta^b \right]. \tag{1}$$

We now work in a non-Abelian theory, which explains the additional Roman color indices. The last term of Eq. 1, which due to the Abelian structure was omitted in the previous exercise, includes ghost fields  $\eta$  and  $\overline{\eta}$  and the covariant derivative  $(D_{\mu})_{bc} = \partial_{\mu}\delta_{bc} - igT^a_{bc}A^a_{\mu}$  in the adjoint representation.

(a) Derive the propagator of the gluon field from the first two terms in Eq. 1 in the same way it was done in the previous exercise. Use the ansatz

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu} = Ag_{\mu\nu} + Bk_{\mu}k_{\nu} + C(k_{\mu}n_{\nu} + k_{\nu}n_{\mu}) + Dn_{\mu}n_{\nu}$$

though. Take the limit  $\xi \to 0$ . The result might remind you of the polarization sum for massless gauge fields. Show that  $n^{\mu}\Delta_{\mu\nu} = 0$  for  $\xi \to 0$ .

Hint: The term  $+gf^{abc}A^b_{\mu}A^c_{\nu}$  in  $F^{\mu\nu,a}$  is not of relevance for the propagator, since it leads to terms with three gauge fields.

(b) Motivate the form of the last term in Eq. 1 by looking in your lecture notes. Derive the equation of motion for the ghost field  $\overline{\eta}$ .

Note: Due to  $n^{\mu}\Delta_{\mu\nu}=0$  for  $\xi\to 0$  ghosts decouple, i.e. they don't interact, which is why the axial gauge for  $\xi\to 0$  is also called "physical" gauge. For practical calculations however terms proportional to  $\frac{1}{n\cdot k}$  lead to unpleasant spurious divergences.