

POWHEG & VBFNLO First steps towards an interface

Forschungsseminar

Franziska Schissler | January 12th, 2012



KIT – Universität des Landes Baden-Württemberg und nationales Forschungszentrum in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft www.kit.edu

《口》 《圖》 《意》 《意》 三百

Outline



The POWHEG-Method

- Shower algorithm
- Subtraction formalism
- POWHEG master formula
- The POWHEG-BOX
 - Needed ingredients



Processes

- WW production
- Z production in vector boson fusion

Conclusion & Outlook

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes

1 Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

January 12th, 2012

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

- Fixed order calculations \rightarrow predicitions for inclusive quantities
- Shower Monte Carlo (SMC) \rightarrow exclusive quantities

SMC (LO)	NLO
LO (α_s^n) accuracy	NLO ($\alpha_s^{(n+1)}$) accuracy
Large dependence on $\mu_{ m r}/\mu_{ m f}$	reduced dependence
Sudakov suppression of	Wrong shapes in the
soft/collinear emission	Sudakov region

- \implies combine both approaches, try to merge benefits
- 2 matching prescriptions to avoid double counting of radiation:
 - MC@NLO
 - POWHEG (Positive Weight Hardest Emission Generator)

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes

-Conclusion & Outlook

Shower algorithm



 $\frac{1}{(q+g)^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{1}{2E_g E_q (1 - \beta_q \cos \theta_{gq})}$ soft divergence: $E_g \rightarrow 0$ collinear divergence: $\theta_{gq} \rightarrow 0$ ($m_q = 0$)

\Rightarrow initial or final state collinear & soft emission strongly enhanced

cross section for extra emission factorizes in coll./soft limit

- ightarrow Shower algorithm to evaluate enhanced contribution at all orders
- description valid from hard scale down to hadronization scale

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The Powheg-Box 0000 Processes 0000



Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

Shower algorithm



$$rac{1}{(q+g)^2-m_q^2}=rac{1}{2E_gE_q(1-eta_q\cos heta_{gq})}$$

soft divergence: $E_g
ightarrow 0$
collinear divergence: $heta_{qq}
ightarrow 0$ ($m_q=0$)

 \Rightarrow initial or final state collinear & soft emission strongly enhanced

cross section for extra emission factorizes in coll./soft limit

- ightarrow Shower algorithm to evaluate enhanced contribution at all orders
- description valid from hard scale down to hadronization scale

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

Motivation

Factorization in the collinear limit



Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

Multiple emissions



• σ_n for *n* collinear emissions contains factorized integral

$$\sigma_n \approx \sigma_0 \alpha_s^n \int_{t_0}^{Q^*} \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \frac{dt_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} \dots \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \times \theta(Q^2 > t_n > t_{n-1} \dots > t_1 > t_0)$$
$$= \sigma_0 \frac{\alpha_s^n}{n!} \left[\log\left(\frac{Q^2}{t_0}\right) \right]^n$$

- Leading Log approximation
- Q²: ordering variable upper cutoff, scale of hard subprocess

• $t_0 \approx \Lambda_{QCD}$: infrared cutoff

nan

 Motivation
 The PowHEG-Method OO ● 000000
 The PowHEG-Box 0000
 Processes 0000
 Conclusion & Outlook 0000

 Franziska Schissler – PowHEG & VBFNLO
 January 12th, 2012
 6/22

Multiple emissions



 σ_n for *n* collinear emissions contains factorized integral

$$\sigma_n \approx \sigma_0 \alpha_s^n \int_{t_0}^{Q^2} \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \frac{dt_{n-1}}{t_{n-1}} \dots \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \times \theta(Q^2 > t_n > t_{n-1} \dots > t_1 > t_0)$$
$$= \sigma_0 \frac{\alpha_s^n}{n!} \left[\log\left(\frac{Q^2}{t_0}\right) \right]^n$$

- Leading Log approximation
- Q²: ordering variable upper cutoff, scale of hard subprocess
- $t_0 \approx \Lambda_{OCD}$: infrared cutoff

DQ P

6/22

Motivation The POWHEG-Method The POWHEG-BOX Processes Conclusion & Outlook 000000000 January 12th, 2012 Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

Sudakov form factor

Single emission probability: $d\mathcal{P}_{1e}(t, t + dt) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t} \int P_{i,jk}(z) dz$ probability of not emitting: $d\mathcal{P}_{0e}(t, t + dt) = 1 - d\mathcal{P}_{1e}(t, t + dt)$

no-emission probability along line *i* from scale t_1 to t_2 by dividing into subintervals

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0e}(t_1, t_2) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left(1 - \sum_{(jk)} \frac{\alpha_s(t_n)}{2\pi} \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \int P_{i,jk}(z) dz \right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t} \sum_{(jk)} \int P_{i,jk}(z) dz \right) \equiv \Delta_i(t_1, t_2)$$

$\Delta_i(t_1, t_2)$: Sudakov Form Factor

 Motivation
 The PowHEG-Method OOO
 The PowHEG-Box 0000
 Processes 0000
 Conclusion & Outlook 0000

 Franziska Schissler – PowHEG & VBFNLO
 January 12th, 2012
 7/22

The POWHEG-Method

Subtraction formalism

• NLO cross section for 2 \rightarrow *n* process,

d
$$arPhi_{\mathsf{n+1}}=\mathsf{d} \Phi_{\mathsf{n}}\,\mathsf{d} \Phi_{\mathsf{rad}},\,\mathsf{d} \Phi_{\mathsf{rad}}\propto \mathsf{d} t\,\mathsf{d} z\,\mathsf{d} arphi$$

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = \left[\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n) + \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Phi_n)}_{\text{seperately IR divergent}} + \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{n+1}) d\Phi_{rad}\right] d\Phi_n, \ \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}} = 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{M}_B^* \mathcal{M}_V\right)$$

add local Counterterms to cancel IR divergencies (KLN theorem)

$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) o \text{finite}$$

 $\mathcal{V}(\Phi_n) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Phi_n) + \int \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) d\Phi_{rad} o \text{finite}$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The PowHEG-Box 0000 Processes 0000 Conclusion & Outlook

8/22

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

The POWHEG-Method

Subtraction formalism

• NLO cross section for 2 \rightarrow *n* process,

d
$$arPhi_{\mathsf{n+1}}=\mathsf{d} arPhi_{\mathsf{n}}\,\mathsf{d} arPhi_{\mathsf{rad}},\,\mathsf{d} arPhi_{\mathsf{rad}}\propto\mathsf{d} t\,\mathsf{d} z\,\mathsf{d} arphi$$

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = \left[\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n) + \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Phi_n)}_{\text{seperately IR divergent}} + \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{n+1})d\Phi_{rad}\right] d\Phi_n, \ \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}} = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{M}_B^* \mathcal{M}_V\right)$$

add local Counterterms to cancel IR divergencies (KLN theorem)

$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) o ext{finite}$$
 $\mathcal{V}(\Phi_n) = \mathcal{V}_{ ext{b}}(\Phi_n) + \int \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) d\Phi_{rad} o ext{finite}$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The PowHEG-Box 0000 Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

The POWHEG-BOX

January 12th, 2012

(ロ) (字) (日) (日)

Conclusion & Outlook



nan

add Counterterm for every region with specific mapping of momenta

• $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{R}_{i} + \sum_{i} \mathcal{R}_{i}$

The POWHEG-Method

0000000000

- - ii: final state parton i soft/coll to final state parton i



[Catani.Seymour 1997]

[Frixione, Kunszt, Signer 1996].[Frixione 1997]

- soft & collinear divergencies are universal
- Catani-Seymour subtraction (dipole subtraction)
- FKS subtraction (POWHEG-BOX)
 - Write Real term $\mathcal R$ as sum of terms with AT MOST 1 singular & collinear singularity associated with 1 parton (FKS parton)

Counterterms C have to cancel IR divergencies of the virtual and real amplitude

- Singular regions:

 - i: final state parton i soft/coll to initial state parton

Motivation

Finite NLO cross section

$$egin{aligned} & d\sigma_{ extsf{NLO}} = & \left[\mathcal{B}(\varPhi_n) + \mathcal{V}_{ extsf{b}}(\varPhi_n) + \mathcal{C}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{ extsf{rad}}) d \varPhi_{ extsf{rad}}
ight. \ & + \left[\mathcal{R}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{ extsf{rad}}) - \mathcal{C}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{ extsf{rad}})
ight] d \varPhi_{ extsf{rad}}
ight] d \varPhi_n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\Phi_n) = \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Phi_n) + \int \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) d\Phi_{rad}}_{\text{finite}} \rightarrow \text{cancel divergencies analytically}$$
$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) \rightarrow \text{numerical cancelation}$$

B-function

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \int d\sigma_{\textit{NLO}} \, d\Phi_{\textit{rad}} = \Big[\mathcal{B}(\Phi_{\textit{n}}) + \mathcal{V}(\Phi_{\textit{n}}) + \int \big[\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\textit{n}}, \Phi_{\textit{rad}}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_{\textit{n}}, \Phi_{\textit{rad}}) \big] d\Phi_{\textit{rad}} \Big] d\Phi_{\textit{nad}} \Big] d\Phi_{\textit{nad}} \Big] d\Phi_{\textit{rad}} \Big$$

Inclusive NLO cross section at fixed underlying Born kinematics

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000 Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

10/22

.,20

Finite NLO cross section

$$\begin{split} d\sigma_{\textit{NLO}} = & \Big[\mathcal{B}(\varPhi_n) + \mathcal{V}_{\rm b}(\varPhi_n) + \mathcal{C}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\textit{rad}}) d\varPhi_{\textit{rad}} \\ & + \big[\mathcal{R}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\textit{rad}}) - \mathcal{C}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\textit{rad}}) \big] d\varPhi_{\textit{rad}} \Big] d\varPhi_n, \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\Phi_n) = \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\Phi_n) + \int \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) d\Phi_{rad}}_{\text{finite}} \rightarrow \text{cancel divergencies analytically}$$
$$\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}) \rightarrow \text{numerical cancelation}$$

B-function

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \int d\sigma_{\text{\tiny NLO}} \, d\Phi_{\text{\tiny rad}} = \Big[\mathcal{B}(\Phi_{n}) + \mathcal{V}(\Phi_{n}) + \int \big[\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{n}, \Phi_{\text{\tiny rad}}) - \mathcal{C}(\Phi_{n}, \Phi_{\text{\tiny rad}}) \big] d\Phi_{\text{\tiny rad}} \Big] d\Phi_{n}$$

Inclusive NLO cross section at fixed underlying Born kinematics

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000 Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

first branching at scale t' starting from higher scale t is independent of subsequent ones:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{first} = \Delta_i(t,t') rac{lpha_s(t')}{2\pi} rac{dt'}{t'} \sum_{(jk)} \int P_{i,jk}(z) dz \int rac{d\varphi}{2\pi}$$

Standard Shower MC's first emission

$$d\sigma_{SMC} = \underbrace{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)}_{Born} d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{SMC}(t_0) + \Delta_{SMC}(t) \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z)}_{\lim_{k_T \to 0} \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{n+1})/\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad}^{SMC} \right]$$

$$\Delta_{\rm SMC}(t) = \exp\left[-\int d\Phi'_{\rm rad} \frac{\alpha_s(t')}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t'} P(z') \theta(t'-t)\right]$$

SMC Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting a parton at scale greater than t ・ロト・ロト・ミン・ミン・シート

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

• first branching at scale t' starting from higher scale t is independent of subsequent ones:

$$d\mathcal{P}_{first} = \Delta_i(t,t') \frac{\alpha_s(t')}{2\pi} \frac{dt'}{t'} \sum_{(jk)} \int P_{i,jk}(z) dz \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}$$

Standard Shower MC's first emission

$$d\sigma_{SMC} = \underbrace{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)}_{Born} d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{SMC}(t_0) + \Delta_{SMC}(t) \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z)}_{\lim_{k_T \to 0} \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{n+1})/\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad}^{SMC} \right]$$

•
$$\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle SMC}(t) = exp \left[-\int d\Phi'_{\scriptscriptstyle rad} rac{lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle s(t')}}{2\pi} rac{1}{t'} P(z') heta(t'-t)
ight]$$

SMC Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting a parton at scale greater than t < ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 > の Q (?)

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method 0000000000

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes January 12th, 2012

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

POWHEG first emission

$$d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\varPhi_n) \, d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, p_T^{min}) + \Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, k_T) \frac{\mathcal{R}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\varPhi_n)} \theta(k_T - p_T) d\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad} \right]$$

POWHEG Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting with transverse momentum harder than p_T

$$\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T) = \exp\left[-\int d\Phi'_{rad} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} \theta(k_T(\Phi_n, \Phi'_{rad}) - p_T)
ight]$$

NLO accuracy preserved in the hard region, $\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T) \approx 1$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad} \approx \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z) \, dt \, dz \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}} \approx \mathcal{B}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)\right)$$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method 000000000

The POWHEG-BOX

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

12/22

nan

POWHEG first emission

$$d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\varPhi_n) \, d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, p_T^{min}) + \Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, k_T) \frac{\mathcal{R}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\varPhi_n)} \theta(k_T - p_T) d\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad} \right]$$

POWHEG Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting with transverse momentum harder than p_T

$$\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp\left[-\int d\Phi_{rad}' rac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} heta(k_T(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}') - p_T)
ight]$$

- NLO cross section for inclusive quantities
- NLO accuracy preserved in the hard region, $\Delta_{\it PWG}(arPsi_n, {\it p_T})pprox$ 1

 $d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} pprox rac{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{
m rad}) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{
m rad} pprox \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{
m rad}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(lpha_s)
ight) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{
m rad}$

LL accuracy of a SMC in soft/collinear limit $k_T
ightarrow 0$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad} \approx \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z) \, dt \, dz \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}} \approx \mathcal{B}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)\right)$$

Motivation

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Conclusion & Outlook

POWHEG first emission

$$d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\varPhi_n) \, d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, p_T^{min}) + \Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\varPhi_n, k_T) \frac{\mathcal{R}(\varPhi_n, \varPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\varPhi_n)} \theta(k_T - p_T) d\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad} \right]$$

POWHEG Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting with transverse momentum harder than p_T

$$\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp\left[-\int d\Phi_{rad}' rac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} heta(k_T(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}') - p_T)
ight]$$

- NLO cross section for inclusive quantities
- NLO accuracy preserved in the hard region, $\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, \rho_T) \approx 1$

 $d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} \approx \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{\sf rad}) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{\sf rad} \approx \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{\sf rad}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)\right) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{\sf rad}$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad} \approx \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z) \, dt \, dz \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}} \approx \mathcal{B}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)\right)$$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method 000000000

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes

-Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

January 12th, 2012

イロト (長) (日) (日)

12/22

POWHEG first emission

$$d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi_n) \, d\Phi_n \left[\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T^{\min}) + \Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG}(\Phi_n, k_T) \frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} \theta(k_T - p_T) d\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle rad} \right]$$

POWHEG Sudakov factor: Probability of not emitting with transverse momentum harder than p_T

$$\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, p_T) = exp\left[-\int d\Phi_{rad}' rac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} heta(k_T(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad}') - p_T)
ight]$$

- NLO cross section for inclusive quantities
- NLO accuracy preserved in the hard region, $\Delta_{PWG}(\Phi_n, \rho_T) \approx 1$

$$d\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle PWG} pprox rac{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi_n)}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{\sf rad}) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{\sf rad} pprox \mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{\sf rad}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(lpha_s)
ight) d\Phi_n d\Phi_{\sf rad}$$

LL accuracy of a SMC in soft/collinear limit $k_T \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(\Phi_n, \Phi_{rad})}{\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{rad} \approx \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{t} P(z) \, dt \, dz \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}} \approx \mathcal{B}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)\right)$$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method 000000000

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes

-Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

January 12th, 2012

イロト (長) (日) (日)

12/22

FORTRAN code

- Projection of real cross section into singular regions
- Counter terms (soft/coll. approximation) & radiation phase space
- pdfs
- NLO differential cross section \rightarrow comparison to VBFNLO
- LesHouches event files (unweighted events)
 - Calculate upper bounds for efficient generation of Sudakov suppressed events
 - Hardest emission according to POWHEG Sudakov

The POWHEG-Method The POWHEG-BOX

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

Motivation

January 12th, 2012

イロト (長) (日) (日)

= nac

obtained from VBFNLO-subroutines, converted into POWHEG-BOX format

- In Flavour structures of Born & Real processes
- Coupling constants
- Born phase space
- Isom squared amplitudes $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{ij}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu
 u}$
- 8 Real matrix elements squared
- (a) Virtual finite part (2 $Re(B^* V_{fin})$)
 - Description: Born colour structures in $N_c
 ightarrow \infty$ limit

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX •OOO Processes 0000 Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のの(~

Flavour structures of Born & Real processes

- find all subprocesses
- recycle old processes
- - 'easy' PS: take VBFNLO one (Jacobian \neq 0, 1 to 1 correspondence)
 - 'difficult' PS: produce 'unweighted' events (weight= $\frac{\sigma_{tot}}{M^2}$)
- - \sum helicity combinations ($\mathcal{B} \neq 0$)

 - colour-correlated \mathcal{B}_{ij} : $\sum_{i,i\neq j} \mathcal{B}_{ij} = C_{ij} \mathcal{B}$ spin-correlated $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}$: $\sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{B}$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000

Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBENLO

January 12th, 2012

- Flavour structures of Born & Real processes
 - find all subprocesses
 - recycle old processes
- Coupling constants (conversion to POWHEG-format): [Jäger, Zanderighi 2011]
- Born phase space (PS)
 - 'easy' PS: take VBFNLO one (Jacobian \neq 0, 1 to 1 correspondence)
 - 'difficult' PS: produce 'unweighted' events (weight= $\frac{\sigma_{tot}}{M^2}$)
- ④ Born squared amplitudes ${\cal B}, {\cal B}_{\it ij}, {\cal B}_{\mu
 u}$

devide into different flavour structures (not calculated in 1 step)

- Flag smartsig
- \sum helicity combinations ($\mathcal{B} \neq 0$)
- colour-correlated \mathcal{B}_{ij} : $\sum_{i,i \neq j} \mathcal{B}_{ij} = C_{f_j} \mathcal{B}$
- spin-correlated $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}$: $\sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{B}$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes 0000 Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

- Flavour structures of Born & Real processes
 - find all subprocesses
 - recycle old processes
- Coupling constants (conversion to POWHEG-format): [Jäger, Zanderighi 2011]
- Born phase space (PS)
 - 'easy' PS: take VBFNLO one (Jacobian \neq 0, 1 to 1 correspondence)
 - 'difficult' PS: produce 'unweighted' events (weight= $\frac{\sigma_{tot}}{M^2}$)
- Isorn squared amplitudes $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{ii}, \mathcal{B}_{\mu
 u}$
 - devide into different flavour structures (not calculated in 1 step)
 - Flag smartsig
 - \sum helicity combinations ($\mathcal{B} \neq 0$)

 - colour-correlated \mathcal{B}_{ij} : $\sum_{i,i\neq j} \mathcal{B}_{ij} = C_{f_i} \mathcal{B}$ spin-correlated $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}$: $\sum_{\mu,\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{B}$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000

Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

January 12th, 2012



- Flavour structures, (anti-)particles
- soft approximation, parton k radiated

$$\mathcal{R} = 4\pi \alpha_{s} \mu_{r}^{2\epsilon} \left[\sum_{i \neq j} \mathcal{B}_{ij} \frac{k_{i} \cdot k_{j}}{(k_{i} \cdot k)(k_{j} \cdot k)} - \mathcal{B} \sum_{i} \frac{k_{i}^{2}}{(k_{i} \cdot k)^{2}} C_{i} \right] + \mathcal{R}^{f},$$

collinear approximation, final state singularities:

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{R}^{gg} & \propto & \mathcal{B}_{\mu
u}, \, \mathsf{P}_{g,gg} \ \mathcal{R}^{qg} & \propto & \mathcal{B}, \, \mathsf{P}_{q,qg} \ \mathcal{R}^{qar{q}} & \propto & \mathcal{B}_{\mu
u}, \, \mathsf{P}_{g,qar{q}} \end{array}$$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000

Processes

1 Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

16/22



• Virtual finite part (2 $Re\left(\mathcal{M}_{Born}^{*}\mathcal{M}_{V_{fin}}\right)$)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{b}} = \mathcal{N} \, rac{lpha_{s}}{2\pi} \Bigg[-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \left(rac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \, \mathcal{C}_{f_{i}} + rac{1}{\epsilon} \, \gamma_{f_{i}}
ight) \mathcal{B} + rac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i,j\in\mathcal{I} \atop i
eq j} \log rac{2k_{i} \cdot k_{j}}{Q^{2}} \, \mathcal{B}_{ij} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{fin}} \Bigg],$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon}, Q = \mu.$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{VBFNLO}} = (4\pi)^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1+\epsilon) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s}\right)^{\epsilon}.$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{\text{VBFNLO}}}{\mathcal{N}} = 1 + \epsilon \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{s}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 \ln^2\left(\frac{\mu^2}{s}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$

need ϵ poles of virtual part to match normalization constant.

$$\mathsf{FKS}\text{-subtraction} \to \mathcal{V} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathtt{s}}}{2\pi} \Big(\mathcal{QB} + \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathcal{I} \\ i \neq j}} \mathcal{I}_{ij} \mathcal{B}_{ij} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{fin}} \Big)$$

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX 0000

Conclusion & Outlook

January 12th, 2012

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

= nar

WW production, $pp \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l^+ \nu l^- \overline{\nu}$

POWHEG: $\sigma = (1.312246 \pm 0.001024)$ pb [Melia, Nason, Röntsch, Zanderighi 2011] VBFNLO: PS+ME, ($\sigma = 1.313733 \pm 0.000754$) pb Cuts:

 $p_{T,l} > 20 \text{ GeV}, \ |\eta_l| < 2.5, \ p_{T,miss} > 20 \text{ GeV}, \ p_{T,j} > 20 \text{ GeV}, \ |\eta_j| < 3.5$ Shower: Pythia 6.421 + VBFNLO, [Sjostrand, Mrenna, Skands 2006]





Z in VBF, $pp \rightarrow Zjj \rightarrow l^+l^-jj$

PS: unweighted events, ME: VBFNLO LO VBFNLO: $\sigma = (986.2 \pm 0.4)$ fb LO POWHEG: $\sigma = (986.8 \pm 0.5)$ fb



Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The Powheg-Box 0000 Processes

Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012

20/22

DQ P



Conclusion & Outlook

WW production works fine

- anomalous couplings
- $WZ, W\gamma$
- Triboson processes
- unweighted events as Phase space generator $\sqrt{}$
- Vector boson fusion
 - Zjj: LO √, NLO??
 - Wjj: learn more about this
 - plenty of other VBF processes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Motivation

The POWHEG-Method

The POWHEG-BOX

Processes 0000 Conclusion & Outlook

Franziska Schissler - POWHEG & VBFNLO

January 12th, 2012