

Charged Higgs Bosons in the Complex MSSM

DAO Thi Nhung



ITP Research Seminar

December 1. 2011

1 Overview

- Charged Higgs Bosons Searches
- Complex MSSM
- **2** Calculation Framework
- **3** Decay width and CP asymmetry in $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}$
- **4** Production rate and CP asymmetry in $pp \to W^{\mp}H^{\pm}$

5 Conclusions

Where charged Higgs bosons come from?

- What is the mechanism to generate particle masses? The Higgs mechanism is confirmed when Higgs bosons are found.
- No theoretical and experimental constraint on the number of Higgs bosons

SM: one Higgs doublets $\begin{pmatrix} \phi^+\\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{EWSB} h^0$



Where charged Higgs bosons come from?

- What is the mechanism to generate particle masses? The Higgs mechanism is confirmed when Higgs bosons are found.
- No theoretical and experimental constraint on the number of Higgs bosons

SM: one Higgs doublets
$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{EWSB} h^0$$

Two-Higgs-Doublet-Model (2HDM) is the simple extension of the SM

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1^0 \\ H_1^- \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ H_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{EWSB} h^0, H^0 \text{ (CP even), } A^0 \text{ (CP odd), } H^{\pm}$$

- \blacksquare Type I: fermions couple to one doublet \rightarrow No FCNC at tree-level
- Type II: down-type fermions couple to H_1 , up-type fermions to H_2 (the MSSM) \rightarrow No FCNC at tree-level
- Type III: fermions couple to both H_1 and H_2

$H^\pm \to$ existence of an extra non-trivial Higgs multiplet

The Minimal Supersymmetry Standard Model (MSSM) Higgs sector

is more predictive in comparison with 2HDM type II:

- Two Higgs doublets with opposite hypercharge are required (analytic superpotential, anomaly free)
- Quartic coupling in Higgs potential is fixed

$$V = m_1^2 H_{ui}^* H_{ui} + m_2^2 H_{di}^* H_{di} + \epsilon^{ij} (m_{12}^2 H_{ui} H_{dj} + \text{H.c}) + \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8} (H_{ui}^* H_{ui} - H_{di}^* H_{di})^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |H_{ui}^* H_{di}|^2$$

- \blacksquare Predict a light neutral Higgs boson: $m_{h^0} < 140~{\rm GeV}$
- Number of Higgs-sector parameters are reduced

$$M_{H^{\pm}}(M_{A^0}), \tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$$

other good points of the MSSM: solve hierarchy problem, unification, dark matter candidate, \ldots

- e^-e^+ colliders (LEP, future ILC,...)
 - Two main production processes



■ subsequence decays:

- $\blacksquare \ M_{H^{\pm}} < m_t m_b : \ H^- \to \tau \bar{\nu}_{\tau}, \ H^- \to \bar{c}s$
- $\blacksquare M_{H^{\pm}} > m_t + m_b : H^- \to \bar{t}b, H^- \to hW$

[HDECAY, FEYNHIGGS, CPsuperH, FHOLD]

- e^-e^+ colliders (LEP, future ILC,...)
 - Two main production processes



- subsequence decays:
 - $\bullet \ M_{H^\pm} < m_t m_b \text{: } H^- \to \tau \bar{\nu}_\tau, \ H^- \to \bar{c}s$
 - $\blacksquare M_{H^{\pm}} > m_t + m_b : H^- \to \bar{t}b, H^- \to hW$

[HDECAY, FEYNHIGGS, CPsuperH, FHOLD]

LEP (192-209 GeV) analysis based on process 1):

- $\blacksquare~M_{H^\pm} \geq 78.6~{\rm GeV}$ at 95% C.L for general 2HDM
- within MSSM, $M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = M_A^2 + M_W^2$, $M_A \ge 93.4 \text{ GeV}$

 $\rightarrow M_{H^{\pm}} \ge 120 \text{ GeV}$

Hadron colliders (Tevatron, LHC)

Production processes

 pp → H⁻t [Plehn, Shou, M. Beccaria, Elber] largest production rate
 pp → H⁻tb [Stirling, Wu Peng, Dittmaier] (with tagged bottom quark)
 pp → H[±]W[∓] [Kniehl, O. Brein, Hollik, Gao, Rauch, Yang]
 pp → H⁻H⁺ [Eichten, Plehn, Hollik, Kniehl]

Hadron colliders (Tevatron, LHC)

Production processes

 pp → H⁻t [Plehn, Shou, M. Beccaria, Elber] largest production rate
 pp → H⁻tb [Stirling, Wu Peng, Dittmaier] (with tagged bottom quark)
 pp → H[±]W[∓] [Kniehl, O. Brein, Hollik, Gao, Rauch, Yang]
 pp → H⁻H⁺ [Eichten, Plehn, Hollik, Kniehl]

CDF analysis based on:

- $gg \to t\bar{t} \to (H^+\bar{b})\bar{t}$
- $\blacksquare M_{H^{\pm}} < m_t m_b$
- important coupling Htb

Phys.Rev.Lett.96:042003



LHC results

 $gg \to t\bar{t} \to (H^+\bar{b})\bar{t}$ $M_{H^{\pm}} < m_t - m_b$



The superpotential

$$W = (H^d.\tilde{L})h^e \tilde{e}_R + (H^d.\tilde{Q})h^d \tilde{d}_R + (H^u.\tilde{Q})h^u \tilde{u}_R + \mu H^d.H^u$$

soft-SUSY breaking sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{soft} = -\frac{1}{2} M_3 \tilde{g} \tilde{g} - \frac{1}{2} M_2 \tilde{W}_i \tilde{W}_i - \frac{1}{2} M_1 \tilde{B} \tilde{B} - M_{\tilde{f}}^2 \tilde{f}^* \tilde{f}$$

+ $m_{12}^2 H^d H^u + (H^d. \tilde{L}) A_l \tilde{e}_R + (H^u. \tilde{Q}) A_u \tilde{u}_R + (H^d. \tilde{Q}) A_d \tilde{d}_R + \text{H.c.}$

- **h** $_{ij}^f$, μ , M_i , A_{ij}^f and m_{12}^2 contain complex phases (69 phases, 41 physical phases)
- Number of physical phases can be reduced by using constrains like: FCNC, GUT relation ...
 - Phases may give large impact on charged Higgs productions and decays :

 $\phi_{\mu}, \, \phi_i, \, \phi_{ au}, \, \phi_b, \, \phi_t$

The sfermion mass matrices

$$M_{\tilde{f}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{f,L}^2 + m_f^2 + M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta (I_3^f - Q_f s_w^2) & m_f X_f^* \\ m_f X_f & M_{\tilde{f}_R}^2 + m_f^2 + M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta Q_f s_w^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $X_f = A_f - \mu^* \{\cot \beta, \tan \beta\}, \cot \beta$ for up-type squarks, $\tan \beta$ for d-type squarks and charged sleptons \Rightarrow important for the third generation

The chargino mass matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & \sqrt{2}\sin\beta M_{\rm W} \\ \sqrt{2}\cos\beta M_{\rm W} & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

The neutralino mass matrix

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -M_Z s_w \cos\beta & M_Z s_w \sin\beta \\ 0 & M_2 & M_Z c_w \cos\beta & M_Z c_w \sin\beta \\ -M_Z s_w \cos\beta & M_Z c_w \cos\beta & 0 & -\mu \\ M_Z s_w \sin\beta & M_Z c_w \sin\beta & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• The Higgs sector is CP conserving at tree-level, complex phases enter at least one-loop level

Problem

■ large SUSY-loops contribution to EDMs exceed the experimental bounds

Problem

- large SUSY-loops contribution to EDMs exceed the experimental bounds Several remendies to overcome this problem
 - Irrelevant: the first generation of sleptons and the two first generations of squarks are very heavy
 - Approximate CP asymmetry: phases are small $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$
 - Internal cancelations: phases can be large, masses of sparticles in the reach of accelerators

Our purposes:

• Studying effects of complex phases of μ and soft SUSY breaking parameters in

 $\begin{array}{ll} H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}h_1 & \text{Hollik, D.T.N JHEP 1101:060,2011} \\ pp \rightarrow H^{\pm}W^{\mp} & \text{Hollik, L.D. Ninh, D.T.N Phys.Rev.D38:075003} \\ pp \rightarrow H^{-}tb & \text{Hollik, L.D. Ninh, D.T.N to be appear} \end{array}$

• Studying the CP violating asymmetry arising from those phases

$$\delta^{CP} = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}$$

where σ^+ can be cross section or decay width of the process, σ^- is for the charge conjugate process

$$\delta^{CP} \neq 0 \begin{cases} \text{weak phases (complex couplings)} \\ \text{strong phases (loop, \gamma_5)} \end{cases}$$

■ Calculations are done at one-loop level

Singularities:

- UV divergencies are cured by renormalization,
 - OS-scheme for e, W-boson, M_{H^+} , m_t
 - **DR-scheme for** α_s , $\tan \beta$, m_b , H^{\pm} wave function
- Soft singularities are cancelled between the virtual part and the gluon and photon radiations (phase space slicing and dipole subtraction methods)
- Collinear singularities of the type $\alpha_s \ln(m_b)$ and $\alpha \ln(m_b)$ are absorbed into running m_b , (anti-) bottom PDFs
- Threshold singularities are cured by using complex masses
- Anomalous three-point singularities are integrable
- The top-quark resonance in $g/\gamma b \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm} b$ are subtracted in a gauge-invariant way.

Tools:

- FeynArts 3.4, FormCalc 6.0, LoopTools 2.3, LoopInts (Ninh)
- Phase-space intergrators: VEGAS, BASES

Calculations relate to internal and external neutral Higgs bosons,

No-mixing loop-corrected propagator

$$-\overset{h}{\longrightarrow} + \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} + \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} + \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} = \frac{-i}{p^2 - m_h^2 + \hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(p^2)} = \frac{-iZ}{p^2 - (\underbrace{m_h^2 + \delta m^2}_{h_1})}$$

in on-shell scheme, Z = 1 and $\delta m^2 = 0$ in $\overline{\text{DR}}$ scheme, $Z = \left(1 + \frac{d}{dp^2} \text{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(p^2)\right)^{-1}|_{p^2 = M_{h_1}^2}$ and $\delta m^2 = -\hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(M_{h_1}^2)$

For external line:

$$\langle i|T|\phi_h(x)\rangle \to Z^{1/2}\langle i|T|\phi_h(x)\rangle$$

• For internal line: $\frac{-i}{p^2 - m_h^2}$, $\frac{-i}{p^2 - M_{h_1}^2}$ (to ensure finiteness (UV, IR) and gauge invariance)

Three particles mixing

$$Z^{1/2}\left(\langle i|T|\phi_{h}\rangle + \langle i|T|\phi_{H}\rangle \underbrace{\langle \phi_{H}|\phi_{h}\rangle}_{Z_{Hh}} + \langle i|T|\phi_{A}\rangle \underbrace{\langle \phi_{A}|\phi_{h}\rangle}_{Z_{Ah}}\right)$$

Neutral Higgs propagator resummation



$$\mathcal{A}(p^2) = \sum_{ij} \Gamma_i \Delta_{ij}(p^2) \Gamma_j, \quad i = h, H, A,$$

 $\Gamma_{i,j}$ are one-particle irreducible Higgs vertices.

$$\begin{split} \Delta(p^2) &= i[p^2 - \mathcal{M}(p^2)]^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{M}(p^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} m_h^2 - \hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(p^2) & -\hat{\Sigma}_{hH}(p^2) & -\hat{\Sigma}_{hA}(p^2) \\ -\hat{\Sigma}_{hH}(p^2) & m_H^2 - \hat{\Sigma}_{HH}(p^2) & -\hat{\Sigma}_{HA}(p^2) \\ -\hat{\Sigma}_{hA}(p^2) & -\hat{\Sigma}_{HA}(p^2) & m_A^2 - \hat{\Sigma}_{AA}(p^2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

- m_i (i = h, H, A) are the lowest-order Higgs-boson masses
- $\hat{\Sigma}_{ij}$ the renormalized self-energies, $\hat{\Sigma}_{h/HA}$ vanish in real MSSM,
- Loop-corrected masses are obtained by diagonalizing $\mathcal{M}(p^2)$
- \blacksquare To avoid double counting, we have to discard all h_ih_j self-energies diagrams in NLO EW corrections

Higgs mixing resummation effects



• less than 10% in subprocesses $b\bar{b} \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm}$

• large effects (30% at $\phi_t = \pm \pi$) in subprocesses $gg \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm}$

1 Overview

- Charged Higgs Bosons Searches
- Complex MSSM
- 2 Calculation Framework
- **3** Decay width and CP asymmetry in $H^{\pm} \rightarrow h_1 W^{\pm}$
- I Production rate and CP asymmetry $inpp \to W^{\mp}H^{\pm}$

5 Conclusions

The decay width of $H^- \to h_1 W^-$

$$\Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1) = \frac{\lambda^{3/2}(M_{H^-}^2, M_W^2, M_{h_1}^2)}{16\pi M_{H^-}^3 M_W^3} \times |M_{h_1}|^2$$

- The Born result: $\Gamma^{(0)}$ $M_{h_1} = M_h^{\text{tree}}$
- The Born improved result: $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0)}$

$$M_{h_1} = \sum_i \mathbf{Z}_{1i} M_i^{\text{tree}}, \quad i = h, H, A.$$

The one-loop improved result:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0+1+2)}$$

$$M_{h_1} = \sqrt{Z_{H^-H^+}} \left[\sum_i \mathbf{Z}_{1i} M_i^{\text{tree}} + \mathbf{Z}_{11} \delta M_h \right]$$

 $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0+1)}$: no δM_h^2 (s)top/(s)bottom

The decay width of $H^- \to h_1 W^-$

$$\Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1) = \frac{\lambda^{3/2} (M_{H^-}^2, M_W^2, M_{h_1}^2)}{16\pi M_{H^-}^3 M_W^2} \times |M_{h_1}|^2$$



- The Born result: $\Gamma^{(0)}$ $M_{h_1} = M_h^{\text{tree}}$
- The Born improved result: $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0)}$

$$M_{h_1} = \sum_i \mathbf{Z}_{1i} M_i^{\text{tree}}, \quad i = h, H, A.$$

The one-loop improved result:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0+1+2)}$$

$$M_{h_1} = \sqrt{Z_{H^-H^+}} \left[\sum_i \mathbf{Z}_{1i} M_i^{\text{tree}} + \mathbf{Z}_{11} \delta M_h \right]$$

 $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Z}}^{(0+1)}$: no δM_h^2 (s)top/(s)bottom

The decay width of $H^- \to h_1 W^-$

$$\Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1) = \frac{\lambda^{3/2} (M_{H^-}^2, M_W^2, M_{h_1}^2)}{16\pi M_{H^-}^3 M_W^2} \times |M_{h_1}|^2$$



15 % at $M_{H^-} = 300 \,\text{GeV}$

CP asymmetry in the decays $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}$

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1) - \Gamma(H^+ \to W^+ h_1)}{\Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1) + \Gamma(H^- \to W^- h_1)}$$

- The strong phases come from one-loop integral, particles inside loops can be on-shell
- The weak phases come from complex couplings in the following diagrams



CP asymmetry in the decays $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}$



CP asymmetry large but difficult to observe due to small branching ratio

1 Overview

- Charged Higgs Bosons Searches
- Complex MSSM
- 2 Calculation Framework
- **3** Decay width and CP asymmetry in $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}$
- If Production rate and CP asymmetry in $pp \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm}$

5 Conclusions

The lowest order: $b\bar{b} \to H^{\pm}W^{\mp}, gg \to H^{\pm}W^{\mp}$

 $\bullet \ b\bar{b} \to H^{\pm}W^{\mp}$





Importances

■ effective bottom-Higgs couplings:

$$\lambda_{b\bar{b}h/H/A} \propto \frac{m_b^{\mathrm{DR}}(\mu_R)(1-\Delta_b/t_\beta/t_\alpha)}{1+\Delta_b}$$
$$\lambda_{b\bar{t}H^+} \propto \left(\frac{m_t}{\tan\beta}P_L + \frac{m_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}}(\mu_R)(1-\Delta_b/t_\beta^2)}{1+\Delta_b}\tan\beta P_R\right)$$

• neutral Higgs propagator: $\mathcal{A}(p^2) = \sum_{ij} \Gamma_i \Delta_{ij}(p^2) \Gamma_j, \quad i = h, H, A,$

NLO corrections to $b\bar{b} \to H^{\pm}W^{\mp}$

Virtual contributions:



■ EW part consists of 352 self-energies + 440 triangles + 153 boxes Real contributions:





Gluon induce, photon induce:



Hadronic cross section and CP asymmetry

Drell-Yan process



$$\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{i,j} \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 [F_i^p(x_1,\mu_F)F_j^p(x_2,\mu_F)\hat{\sigma}^{ij}(\mu_R) + i \leftrightarrow j)],$$
PDF: MBST2004ged

CP asymmetry

$$\delta_{pp}^{\text{CP violation}} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to W^-H^+) - \sigma(pp \to W^+H^-)}{\sigma(pp \to W^-H^+) + \sigma(pp \to W^+H^-)}.$$

Use CPX scenario for numerical studies

NLO corrections to $b\bar{b} \to H^{\pm}W^{\mp}$

Relative corrections: $\delta = \frac{\sigma_{NLO} - \sigma_{IBA}}{\sigma_{IBA}}$





Total hardronic cross section



CP asymmetry





Scale dependence

$$\delta = [|\sigma(\mu_{F0}/2) - \sigma(\mu_{F0})| + |\sigma(2\mu_{F0}) - \sigma(\mu_{F0})|]/\sigma(\mu_{F0})$$

 $\blacksquare \ \mu_R = \mu_F, \ \mu_{F0} = M_W + M_{H^{\pm}}$



Conclusions

- $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}, \, pp \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm}$ have been studied in general complex MSSM
- CP violating effects on the decay width and production rate are significantly large
- NLO corrections are important for the search of charged Higgs bosons and significantly reduce scale dependence
- Large CP asymmetry is mainly induced from ϕ_t, ϕ_μ, ϕ_3
- The effective bottom-Higgs couplings have significant effects on $b\bar{b}$ annihilation
- The Higgs mixing resummation gives large effects on gg fusion, and CP asymmetry
- \blacksquare Decay width, production rates and CP asymmetry strongly depend on $\tan\beta,$ $M_{H^\pm},\,\phi_t,\,\phi_3$

Conclusions

- $H^{\pm} \to h_1 W^{\pm}, \, pp \to W^{\mp} H^{\pm}$ have been studied in general complex MSSM
- CP violating effects on the decay width and production rate are significantly large
- NLO corrections are important for the search of charged Higgs bosons and significantly reduce scale dependence
- Large CP asymmetry is mainly induced from ϕ_t, ϕ_μ, ϕ_3
- The effective bottom-Higgs couplings have significant effects on $b\bar{b}$ annihilation
- The Higgs mixing resummation gives large effects on gg fusion, and CP asymmetry
- \blacksquare Decay width, production rates and CP asymmetry strongly depend on $\tan\beta,$ $M_{H^\pm},\,\phi_t,\,\phi_3$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

BACK UP

The effective bottom-Higgs couplings: $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \ln m_b/\mu_R)$ SM-QCD corrections

An example SM-QCD correction to $hb\bar{b}$



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{On-shell scheme for b quark:} \\ \Gamma^{(1)} &= \Gamma^{(0)}(m_b) \times \frac{2\alpha_s(m_h)}{3\pi} \left(\frac{9}{2} + 6\ln\frac{m_b}{m_h}\right) \\ \Gamma^{(0)} &\propto m_b^2, \qquad m_b: \text{pole mass} \\ \alpha_s(m_h) &= \frac{m_b}{\beta_0 \ln(m_h/\Lambda_{\rm QCD})} \\ \Lambda_{\rm QCD} &= 0.5 \ {\rm GeV}, m_h \to \infty: \end{array}$

$$\Gamma^{(1)} \approx -\frac{8}{7} \Gamma^{(0)}$$

• $\Gamma^{(1)}, \Gamma^{(0)}$: unreliable

- Higher order terms are important
- On-shell scheme is not good (spoil perturbative expansion)

$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme:

sum the leading contributions $\alpha_s^n \ln^n(m_b/\mu_R)$ to running $m_b^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu_R)$

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = \Gamma^{(0)}(m_b^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}) \times \frac{17\alpha_s(m_h)}{3\pi}$$

$$m_b^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu_R) = m_b \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} (4 - 6\ln\frac{m_b}{\mu_R}) \right]$$

in SUSY: $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \to \overline{\mathrm{DR}}$

$$m_b^{\overline{\rm DR}}(\mu_R) = m_b \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} (5 - 6\ln\frac{m_b}{\mu_R}) \right]$$

The effective bottom-Higgs couplings: $\mathcal{O}(\tan \beta)$ SUSY corrections

The effective Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\text{eff}} = -Y_{b}\bar{b}_{R}\mathcal{H}_{1} \cdot Q_{L} - Y_{b}\frac{\Delta m_{b}}{\tan\beta}b_{R}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2} \cdot Q_{L} + \cdots \xrightarrow{b_{L}} / \underbrace{b_{L}}_{\tilde{g}} / \underbrace{b_{L$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - i\chi_1) \\ -\phi_1^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ v_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_2 + i\chi_2) \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = i\sigma_2\mathcal{H}_2$$

Relation between m_b and Y_b reads

$$Y_{b} = \frac{m_{b}}{v \cos\beta(1 + \Delta m_{b})}, \quad \Delta m_{b} = \Delta m_{b}^{SQCD} + \Delta m_{b}^{SEW}$$
$$\Delta m_{b}^{SQCD} = \frac{2\alpha_{s}}{3\pi} M_{3}^{*} \mu^{*} \tan\beta C_{0}(0, 0, 0, M_{\tilde{b}_{1}}^{2}, M_{\tilde{b}_{2}}^{2}, M_{\tilde{g}}^{2})$$
$$\Delta m_{b}^{SEW} = \frac{\alpha_{t}}{4\pi} A_{t}^{*} \mu^{*} \tan\beta C_{0}(0, 0, 0, M_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2}, M_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}, |\mu|^{2}) + \cdots$$

- Δm_b in general is complex, due to non-vanishing phases $(\phi_3, \phi_t, \phi_\mu)$
- if Δm_b close to -1, Y_b can be very large
- $\phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_1, \chi_2, \phi_1^-, \phi_2^+ \to h, H, A, G, H^{\pm}, G^{\pm}$ to get the effective couplings
- To avoid double counting, we have to subtract the Δm_b -related corrections in one-loop calculation

The effective bottom-Higgs couplings

include the subleading contribution:
$$\begin{split} \Delta m_b & \to \Delta_b = \frac{\Delta m_b}{1+\Delta_1} \\ \Delta_1 & = -\frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} M_3^* A_b C_0(0,0,0,M_{\tilde{b}_1}^2,M_{\tilde{b}_2}^2,M_{\tilde{g}}^2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\lambda}_{b\bar{b}h} &= \frac{iem_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}}}{2s_W M_W} \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \beta} \left(\Delta_b^1 P_L + \Delta_b^{1*} P_R \right), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{b\bar{b}H} &= \frac{-iem_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}}}{2s_W M_W} \frac{\cos \alpha}{\cos \beta} \left(\Delta_b^2 P_L + \Delta_b^{2*} P_R \right), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{b\bar{b}A} &= \frac{em_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}}}{2s_W M_W} \tan \beta (\Delta_b^3 P_L - \Delta_b^{3*} P_R), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{b\bar{t}H^+} &= \frac{ie}{\sqrt{2}s_W M_W} \left(\frac{m_t}{\tan \beta} P_L + m_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}} \tan \beta \Delta_b^{3*} P_R \right), \\ \bar{\lambda}_{t\bar{b}H^-} &= \frac{ie}{\sqrt{2}s_W M_W} \left(m_b^{\overline{\mathrm{DR}}} \tan \beta \Delta_b^3 P_L + \frac{m_t}{\tan \beta} P_R \right), \end{split}$$

where

$$\Delta_b^1 = \frac{1 - \Delta_b / (\tan\beta\tan\alpha)}{1 + \Delta_b}, \Delta_b^2 = \frac{1 + \Delta_b \tan\alpha / \tan\beta}{1 + \Delta_b}, \Delta_b^3 = \frac{1 - \Delta_b / (\tan\beta)^2}{1 + \Delta_b}.$$



CPX scenario: maximize CP violating effects

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu| &= 2 \text{ TeV}, |M_2| = 200 \text{ GeV}, |M_3| = 1 \text{ TeV}, |A_t| = |A_b| = |A_\tau| = 900 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_{\tilde{Q}} &= M_{\tilde{D}} = M_{\tilde{U}} = M_{\tilde{L}} = M_{\tilde{E}} = M_{\text{SUSY}} = 500 \text{ GeV} \\ |M_1| &= 5/3 \tan^2 \theta_W |M_2|, \quad \phi_t = \phi_b = \phi_\tau = \phi_3 = \phi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

Renormalization scale and factorization scale: $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_{F0} = M_W + M_{H^{\pm}}$