

# WW production at high transverse momenta beyond NLO

in collaboration with F. Campanario and S. Sapeta, Nucl. Phys. B879 (2014) 65-79 [arXiv:1309.7293]

Michael Rauch | Mar 27, 2014

INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS



www.kit.edu

## Motivation



WW pair production at the LHC

$$pp 
ightarrow W^+W^- + X 
ightarrow \ell_1^+ 
u_1 \ell_2^- ar
u_2 + X$$

• Neutrinos in the final state  $\rightarrow$  invariant *W* mass cannot be reconstructed

 → background for many LHC measurements: Higgs searches/measurements, BSM physics (often contains light stable particle → missing energy)

- signal process in its own right: anomalous triple gauge couplings
- Experiment: exclusive cross section measurements from ATLAS (7 TeV run only) and CMS (7 TeV and 8 TeV, 5 fb<sup>-1</sup>)  $\rightarrow$  reasonable agreement,  $\sim 2\sigma$  excess observed



## State of the Art

Available higher-order corrections:

WW

■ NLO QCD: *O*(50%) for inclusive cuts, phase-space dependent

[Dixon, Kunszt, Signer; Campbell, Ellis, Williams] S [Dawson, Lewis, Zeng]

[Gehrmann, Tancredi, Weihs: Chachamis]

- soft-gluon resummation of threshold logarithms
- NNLO QCD: work started
- NLO EW up to double-pole approximation [Bierweiler, Kasprzik, Kühn, Uccirati, Gieseke; Baglio, Ninh, Weber; Billoni, Dittmaier, Jäger, Speckner]
- gluon-initiated contributions: [Amettler, Gava, Paver, Treleani; Dicus, Kao, Repko; Glover, van der Bij; Binoth, Ciccolini, Kauer, Krämer; Bonvini, Caola, Forte, Melnikov, Ridolfi] formally NNLO, numerically enhanced due to large gluon PDFs: 3-5% inclusive, 10% in Higgs analyses

WWj

NLO QCD plus gluon-initiated contributions

WWjj

■ NLO QCD: *O*(10%), greatly reduced scale dependence

[Greiner, Heinrich, Mastrolia, Ossola, Reiter, Tramontano]

Large QCD corrections for WW and WWj

- $\rightarrow$  assess size of NNLO QCD corrections  $\leftrightarrow$  lack of explicit calculation
- $\rightarrow$  combine WW and WWj consistently with simulated 2-loop contributions

(See also [Cascioli, Hoeche, Krauss, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, Siegert] for similar approach with different physics focus)



## LoopSim

#### LoopSim approach

- based on unitarity
- assign angular-ordered branching structure to each event (C/A algorithm with radius R<sub>LS</sub>) until number of particles identical to Born number
- hard structure of event determined
   → remaining particles marked as "Born"
- construct virtual "loop" events: recombine particles j not marked as "Born":
  - clustered with particle *i*: spread *j* momentum over *i* and all particles emitted after *j*
  - clustered with beam: remove j and apply transverse boost
  - no secondary emitters looped (particles which emit another particle)
     ↔ no divergence for emission from internal line







- weight for each loop diagram: (-1)<sup>number of loops</sup>
- double counting from exact 1-loop diagrams removed
- fully inclusive: cross section unmodified
- $\hfill also electro-weak particles looped \rightarrow removed by final-state requirements$
- $\hfill \ensuremath{\,\bullet\)}$  cuts applied to each (simulated) part separately  $\rightarrow$  integrated cross sections differ
- $\Rightarrow$  Exact tree-level and one-loop parts, singular part of two-loop diagrams
- $\leftrightarrow$  constant term of two-loop diagrams missing

Cross-checks:

- nLO vs. NLO calculation
- Drell-Yan: nNLO vs. NNLO calculation
- ightarrow good agreement

Generation of WW + X events: VBFNLO (NLO QCD WW, NLO QCD WWj, LO GF-WW)

[Zeppenfeld, MR et al.]

#### M. Rauch - WW production at high transverse momenta beyond NLO

#### Numerical Results

Integrated cross sections for LHC 8 TeV

 $\mu_{F,R} = \mu_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum p_{T,\text{partons}} + \sqrt{p_{T,W^+}^2 + m_{W^+}^2} + \sqrt{p_{T,W^-}^2 + m_{W^-}^2} \right), R_{LS} = 1$ MSTW NNLO 2008 PDF for all cross sections used

	c.s. [fb] without jet veto	c.s. [fb] with jet veto
$\sigma_{\sf LO}$	$247.49 \begin{array}{c} +5.40 \\ -7.60 \end{array}$	247.49 <sup>+5.40</sup> _7.60
$\sigma_{\rm box+Higgs}$	19.02 $^{-3.70}_{+4.86}$	19.02 <sup>-3.70</sup> +4.86
$\sigma_{\rm pure-NLO}$	$334.64 \begin{array}{c} -6.36 \\ +6.49 \end{array}$	253.05 <sup>+2.98</sup> -4.75
$\sigma_{ m pure-}\overline{n} m NLO$	345.17 $^{-7.06}_{+7.03}$ ( $\mu$ ) $^{+5.24}_{-3.33}$ ( $R_{ m LS}$ )	236.63 $^{-1.16}_{+1.45}$ ( $\mu$ ) $^{+5.31}_{-3.27}$ ( $R_{ m LS}$ )
$\sigma_{\rm NLO}$	353.67 <sup>-10.06</sup> +11.35	272.07 <sup>-8.45</sup> +7.84
$\sigma_{\bar{n}}$ NLO	364.19 $^{-10.76}_{+11.89}$ ( $\mu$ ) $^{+5.24}_{-3.33}$ ( $R_{ m LS}$ )	255.72 $^{-4.86}_{+6.31}$ ( $\mu$ ) $^{+5.31}_{-3.27}$ ( $R_{ m LS}$ )

• scale variation between  $2\mu_0$  (upper value) and  $\mu_0/2$  (lower value)

- R<sub>LS</sub> variation between 1.5 (upper) and 0.5 (lower)
- $\sigma_{NLO}, \sigma_{\bar{p}NLO}$  contains gluon-fusion (box+Higgs) part (errors added linearly)
- $\bullet$   $\rightarrow$  Large negative corrections for vetoed results (Sudakov logarithms)
- $\leftrightarrow$  Missing finite part of 2-loop virtuals

Cuts: (follows CMS analysis)

- $p_{T,\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- $|\eta_{\ell}| < 2.5$
- different-flavour:  $E_{T,\text{miss}}^{\text{projected}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- same-flavour:  $E_{T,\text{miss}}^{\text{projected}} > 45 \text{ GeV}$  $m_{\ell\ell} > 12 \, \text{GeV}$  $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| > 15 \,{\rm GeV}$  $p_{T,\ell\ell} > 45 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $\Delta\phi(\ell\ell, j_1) < 165^\circ$

Jet veto: no jets with

- $p_{T, jet} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- $|\eta_{iet}| < 4.7$



## Distributions

Effective mass observable  $H_T$  (commonly used in new-physics searches)





- very sensitive to additional radiation from further partons and soft or collinear emission of the W bosons
- $\rightarrow$  giant K factors for large  $H_T$
- lacksquare ightarrow well described by LoopSim method
- $\leftrightarrow$  small dependence on  $R_{LS}$  parameter
- cross-check: comparison of  $\bar{n}$ LO and NLO results  $\rightarrow$  very close for  $H_T \gtrsim 200$  GeV



## Distributions

Effective mass observable  $H_T$  (commonly used in new-physics searches)







- large negative corrections when applying jet veto (Sudakov logs)
  - $\mathcal{O}(-15\%)$  for NLO compared to LO,  $\mathcal{O}(-20\%)$  for  $\bar{n}$ NLO compared to NLO
- $\leftrightarrow$  finite two-loop contributions missing
- $\leftrightarrow$  same effect in WH@NNLO:  $\mathcal{O}(-15\%)$  for NNLO/NLO [Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano]

## **Distributions (cont.)**

Invariant mass of the lepton pair  $m_{\ell\ell}$ 





- shape unchanged by *n*NLO effects, normalization differs for vetoed case
- large  $m_{\ell\ell} \leftrightarrow$  back-to-back leptons dominate  $\rightarrow$  not particularly sensitive to new topologies opening up

### Conclusions



- Calculation of WW including leptonic decays at n
  NLO using LoopSim approach combining NLO QCD WW, NLO QCD WWj and LO GF-WW
- large additional corrections beyond NLO outside scale variation bands for observables sensitive to QCD radiation (like  $H_T$  or  $E_{T,miss}$ )

 $m_{\ell\ell}$  or  $m_{WW}$  distribution on the other hand hardly affected

- jet veto like in exp. setup leads to large negative corrections
  - $\rightarrow$  large Sudakov logarithms
  - $\leftrightarrow \text{finite 2-loop virtual term}$

## **Distributions (cont.)**

Missing transverse energy  $E_{T,miss}$ 



VBFNLO+LoopSim LO

MSTW NNLO

E<sub>T,miss</sub> [GeV]

200 300 400 500 600

NIO

nNLO (μ)

nNLO (R<sub>IS</sub>)

box+Higgs



- large K factors for unvetoed results
- negative  $\mathcal{O}(-20\%)$  correction for vetoed results
- outside scale variation bands