

Note on a - and z -sequences of Sheffer number triangles for certain generalized Lah numbers

Wolfdieter Lang¹

Abstract

The so-called a - and z -sequences for *Sheffer* number triangles provide a recurrence for each entry in terms of those of the preceding row. The a - and z -sequences for the *Sheffer* triangles of the generalized *Lah* numbers, called $L[d, a]$, are considered.

For each *Sheffer* number triangle $\mathbf{S} = (g(t), f(t))$ with exponential generating functions (*e.g.f.* s) $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$, where $g_0 = 1$, and $f(t) = t \hat{f}(t)$, with $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$, where $\hat{f}_0 \neq 0$, one can give a recurrence for the entries of the first column of \mathbf{S} , in matrix notation $S(n, 0)$ in terms of maximal n entries of the preceding row, *i.e.*,

$$S(n, 0) = n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z_j S(n-1, j), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \text{with } S(0, 0) = 1, \quad (1)$$

where the z -sequence has *e.g.f.*

$$Ez(y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z_j \frac{y^j}{j!} = \frac{1}{f^{[-1]}(y)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{g(f^{[-1]}(y))} \right). \quad (2)$$

Here the compositional inverse of f is denoted by $f^{[-1]}$.

The recurrence for the other entries of the lower triangular (infinite dimensional) *Sheffer* matrix \mathbf{S} is given by the a -sequence.

$$S(n, m) = \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \binom{m-1+j}{j} a_j S(n-1, m-1+j), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, m \geq 1, \quad (3)$$

with *e.g.f.*

$$Ea(y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \frac{y^j}{j!} = \frac{y}{f^{[-1]}(y)}. \quad (4)$$

For details see the *W. Lang* link [1], part 2, where also the references [5],[3],[6] are given, which, however, refer to the *Riordan* triangle case.

The generalized non-negative *Lah* number triangles $\mathbf{L}[d, a]$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = 0$ if $d = 1$, and $\gcd(d, a) = 1$, *i.e.*, $a \in RRS(d)$, the smallest positive restricted residue system modulo d , have been proposed in [2], section 2, C) 4. We do not repeat here the properties of these lower triangular (infinite dimensional) triangles $\mathbf{L}[d, a]$ as transition matrices between $[d, a]$ -generalizations of certain rising and falling factorials but concentrate on the a - and z -sequences.

¹ wolfdieter.lang@partner.kit.edu, <http://www.itp.kit.edu/~wl>

The first instances are $\mathbf{L}[1, 0] = \mathbf{L} = \text{A271703}$, $\mathbf{L}[2, 1] = \text{A286724}$, $\mathbf{L}[3, 1] = \text{A290596}$, $\mathbf{L}[3, 2] = \text{A290598}$, $\mathbf{L}[4, 1] = \text{A290604}$, $\mathbf{L}[4, 3] = \text{A292219}$.

The Sheffer structure is

$$\mathbf{L}[d, a] = \left(\frac{1}{(1 - dt)^{\frac{2a}{d}}}, \frac{t}{1 - dt} \right). \quad (5)$$

Thus the compositional inverse of f is

$$f^{[-1]}(y) = \frac{y}{1 + dy}. \quad (6)$$

The *e.g.f.* of the a -sequence is therefore $Ea(d; y) = 1 + dy$, with the sequence $a(d) = \{1, d, \text{repeat}(0)\}$. This means that the recurrence is always of the three term type (see also [2], eq. (143)):

$$L(d, a; n, m) = \frac{n}{m} L(d, a; n - 1, m - 1) + nd L(d, a; n - 1, m), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, m = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (7)$$

As mentioned above this recurrence has to be used in connection with the one from the z -sequence for the $m = 0$ column, to be discussed now.

For the z -sequence the analysis becomes more involved. The *e.g.f.* is (see [2], eq. (142))

$$\begin{aligned} Ez(d, a; y) &= (1 + dy) \frac{1}{y} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{dy}{1 + dy} \right)^{\frac{2a}{d}} \right], \\ &= (1 + dy) \frac{1}{y} \left[1 - (1 + dy)^{-\frac{2a}{d}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Lemma 1: Series $Ez(d, a; y)$

$$\begin{aligned} Ez(d, a; y) &= 2a + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{k!} (-1)^k Z(d, a; k), \quad \text{with} \\ Z(d, a; k) &= \frac{1}{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^k (2a + (j-1)d). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{y} \left[1 - (1 + dy)^{-\frac{2a}{d}} \right] &= \frac{1}{y} \left[1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2a}{d} \right)^{\bar{k}} \frac{(-dy)^k}{k!} \right] \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2a}{d} \right)^{\bar{k}} (-d)^k \frac{y^{k-1}}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2a}{d} \right)^{\overline{k+1}} d^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \frac{y^k}{k!}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with the rising factorial $x^{\bar{k}} := \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x + j)$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x^{\bar{0}} := 1$.

This has to be multiplied with $(1 + dy)$ producing the leading term $2a$, and the coefficient of $\frac{y^k}{k!}$, $k \geq 1$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{2a}{d} \right)^{\overline{k+1}} (-1)^k \frac{d^{k+1}}{k+1} + d \left(\frac{2a}{d} \right)^{\bar{k}} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{d^k}{k} \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2a + dj) \cdot [(2a + dk) - d(k+1)] = \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^k (2a + d(j-1)). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

□

The $z(d, a)$ sequence has therefore the entries

$$z(d, a; k) = \begin{cases} 2a, & \text{for } k = 0 \\ (-1)^k Z(d, a; k), & \text{for } k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Examples:

1) $z(1, 0; k) = 0$, for $k \geq 0$.

2) $z(2, 1; 0) = 2$, $z(2, 1; k) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$.

3) $z[3, 1] = \{2, \frac{2}{2}, -\frac{2 \cdot 5}{3}, \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8}{4}, -\frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 11}{5}, \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdot 14}{6}, \dots\} = \{2, 1, -\frac{10}{3}, 20, -176, \frac{6160}{3}, \dots\}$.

4) $z[3, 2] = \{4, -\frac{4}{2}, \frac{4 \cdot 7}{3}, -\frac{4 \cdot 7 \cdot 10}{4}, \frac{4 \cdot 7 \cdot 10 \cdot 13}{5}, -\frac{4 \cdot 7 \cdot 10 \cdot 13 \cdot 16}{6}, \dots\} = \{4, -2, \frac{28}{3}, -70, 728, -\frac{29120}{3}, \dots\}$.

5) $z[4, 1] = \{2, \frac{2 \cdot 2}{2}, -\frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6}{3}, \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10}{4}, -\frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 14}{5}, \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 14 \cdot 18}{6}, \dots\}$
 $= \{2, 2, -8, 60, -672, 10080, \dots\} = 2 * \{1, 1, -4, 30, -336, 5040, \dots\}$.

6) $z[4, 3] = \{6, -\frac{2 \cdot 6}{2}, \frac{2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10}{3}, -\frac{2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 14}{4}, \frac{2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 14 \cdot 18}{5}, -\frac{2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 14 \cdot 18 \cdot 22}{6}, \dots\}$
 $= \{6, -6, 40, -420, 6048, -110880, \dots\} = 2 * \{3, -3, 20, -210, 3024, -55440, \dots\}$.

The third and fourth example shows that some entries become fractional, with powers of 3 in the denominator.

See the instances $z(3, 1; k) = \frac{A290599(k)}{A038500(k+1)}$, $z(3, 2; k) = \frac{A290603(k)}{A038500(k+1)}$, $z(4, 1; k) = 2 * \frac{A292220(k)}{A038500(k+1)}$ and $z(4, 3; k) = 2 * \frac{A292221(k)}{A038500(k+1)}$.

The question is for which $k + 1$ values, with $k \geq 1$, $z(d, a; k)$ (in lowest terms) is fractional, *i.e.*, which $k + 1$ values do not divide the numerator

$$N(z(d, a; k)) = (-1)^k \prod_{j=0}^k (2a + (j-1)d) =: (-1)^k P(d, a; k) \quad (13)$$

$$= (-1)^k \text{sign}(2a - d) |d - 2a| \prod_{j=1}^k (2a + (j-1)d). \quad (14)$$

For this analysis we state two trivial Lemmata.

Lemma 2:

The product $P(d, a; k)$ has all numbers $2a \pmod{d}$ from the interval $[2a, P_{\max}(d, a; k)]$, with $P_{\max}(d, a; k) := 2a + (k-1)d = d(k+1) - 2(d-a)$ as factors. In addition the (sometimes negative or vanishing) number $2a - d$ is a factor.

This is obvious from the definition of $P(d, a; k)$ in eq. (14).

The number $|d - 2a|$ (0 only for $d = 2$ because $\text{gcd}(d, a) = 1$) will be called ‘the first number’ in $P(d, a; k)$ (even if $\text{sign}(2a - d)$ is negative). Note that if $2a - d$ is not positive then this first number $d - 2a$ is congruent to $2a$ modulo d only for $[d, a] = [1, 0]$, $[2, 1]$ or $[4, 1]$.

Corollary 1: Even d, factors

If $d = 2D$, $D \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P(2D, a; k)$ has all numbers $a \pmod{D}$ from the interval $[a, \overline{P}_{\max}(2D, a; k)]$, with $\overline{P}_{\max}(2D, a; k) := a + (k-1)D = D(k+1) - 2D + a$ as factors. In addition there is a negative factor $-2^{k+1}(D - a)$. The first (positive) number is then $2^{k+1}(D - a)$.

Lemma 3:

$$P(d, a; k) \equiv (2a)^{k+1} \pmod{d}, \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

This is also clear because $P(d, a; k)$ has $k + 1$ factors, each $2a \pmod{d}$.

Corollary 2: Even d, congruence

For $d = 2D$, $D \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{P}(2D, a; k) := \frac{P(2D, a; k)}{2^{k+1}} \equiv a^{k+1} \pmod{D}$ for $k \geq 1$.

To find out which numbers appear in the denominators of $z(d, a; k)$, for $k \geq 1$, one looks first at the power sequence $\{(2a)^{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1} \pmod{d}$. Such modular power sequences always become (or are already) periodic because the sequence has more than d terms, but there are only d possible values from $RS_{>}(d)$ (the smallest non-negative residue system modulo d). See the *Table* for $d = 1, \dots, 10$, and the restricted a values.

Proposition 1: Denominators of $z(3, a; k)$

$z(3, a; k)$ has for $a = 1, 2$ the denominator (in lowest terms) [A038500](#)($k + 1$) for $k \geq 0$, *i.e.*, the highest power of 3 in $k + 1$.

Proof:

For $k = 0$ this is trivial; the denominator is 1.

i) In the cases $[d, a] = [3, 1]$ and $[3, 2]$ it is clear that 3 cannot divide $P(3, 1; k)$ for $k \geq 1$, because $P(3, 1; k) \equiv 12 \pmod{3}$ depending on the parity of k . The same holds for $P(3, 2; k) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, in the prime factorization of $k + 1$ one can separate the powers of the prime 3 which will never divide $P(3, a; k)$, hence $Num(z(3, a; k))$, for $a = 1, 2$. This explains why the denominator of $z(3, a; k)$ has certainly a factor [A038500](#)($k + 1$), the highest power of 3 in $k + 1$. But at this stage of the argument there could remain more factors of $k + 1$ in the denominator.

ii) Therefore one has to show that powers of primes congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3 of $k + 1$ always divide

$P(3, a; k)$. For this we consider the factorization $\overline{k+1} = \frac{k+1}{3e_3} = \prod_{j=1}^{M1} p_{1,j}^{e_{1,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{M2} p_{2,j}^{e_{2,j}} = P1 \cdot P2$, with

$P1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ the product from powers of prime 1 (mod 3) ([A002476](#)), and $P2$ the product from powers of primes 2 (mod 3) ([A003627](#)). $P2$ is $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ or $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{M2} e_{2,j}$ is even or odd, respectively. We omit the arguments (d) of the factors Pi .

If $d = 3$, $a = 1$ *Lemma 2* shows that all numbers 2 (mod 3) from 2 to $P_{\max}(3, 1; k) = (k+1)+2(k-1) = 3(k+1) - 4$ appear as factors in $P(3, 1; k)$. Therefore in the second case, when $P2 = 2 \pmod{3}$, we show that $P2 \leq 3(k+1) - 4$. This is clear because $3(k+1) - 4 \geq 3\overline{k+1} - 4 \geq 3P2 - 4 \geq P2$, *i.e.*, $2P2 \geq 2$. which is trivial because $P2 \geq 2$.

In the first case, when $P2 = 1 \pmod{3}$, this number $P2$ will be shown to appear as a factor in $P(3, 1; k)$ as the 2 (mod 3) number $2 \cdot P2$. Namely $P_{\max}(3, 1; k) = 3(k+1) - 4 \geq 3\overline{k+1} - 4 \geq 3P2 - 4 \stackrel{!}{\geq} 2 \cdot P2$, *i.e.*, $P2 \stackrel{!}{\geq} 4$. This is trivial because the smallest prime in $P2$ is 2 and the exponent sum is now even, hence $P2 \geq 4$.

For $P1$ one also shows that the 2 (mod 3) number $2 \cdot P1$ is always a factor of $P(3, 1; k)$ because, as above, this reduces to $P1 \stackrel{!}{\geq} 4$ which is trivial because the smallest prime in $P1$ is 7. This concludes the proof for $a = 1$.

iii) If $a = 2$ then all numbers congruent to 1 modulo 4 from 4 to $P_{\max}(3, 2; k) = 3(k+1) - 2$ appear in $P(3, 2; k)$, and one can proceed as above. If $P2 = 2 \pmod{3}$ one shows that the 1 (mod 3) number $2P2$ is smaller than $3(k+1) - 2$. This results in the trivial inequality $P2 \geq 2$. In the other case for which $P2 = 1 \pmod{3}$ this reduces to the inequality $2P2 \geq 2$. The same appears for $P1$: $2P1 \geq 2$ is satisfied because $P1 \geq 7$. □

This method can now be generalized to higher $[d, a]$ cases. Observe that in the proof we did not use the first number in $P(d, a; k)$. It will turn out that in general also this number will have to be used for small numbers $k + 1$ for certain a values.

Proposition 2: Integer sequences $\{z(4, a; k)\}_{k \geq 0}$

The denominators of $z(4, a; k)$ (in lowest terms) are for $a = 1, 3$ always 1. (This holds also for the not considered case $a = 2$, with $z(4, 2; 0) = 4$, and $z(4, 2; k) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$.)

Proof:

For $k = 0$ this is clear: $z(4, a; 0) = 2a$.

$P(4, a; k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $k \geq 1$, for $a = 1$ and 3 (Lemma 3). Also $\overline{P}(4, a; k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for these a values (Corollary 1).

Each of the three prime power factors of $k + 1 = P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdot P_3$ (powers of primes modulo 4) can be shown to be a factor of $P(4, a; k)$. There is no P_0 and $P_2 = 2^{e_2}$. $P_{\max}(4, 1; k) = 4(k + 1) - 6$ or $P_{\max}(4, 3; k) = 4(k + 1) - 2$, and $\overline{P}_{\max}(4, a; k) = 2(k + 1) - 4 + a$ for the odd numbers in $\overline{P}(4, a; k)$. For $P_2 = 2^{e_2}$ this is trivial, because $P(4, a; k)$ has at least the factors 2^{k+1} , and $2^{k+1} \geq 2^{2^{e_2}} \geq 2^{e_2}$.

Here the above used procedure will not work for for 2 in $P_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the odd exponent sum case, for all $k \geq 1$ if $a = 1$. But for this 2 the first number of $P(4, 1; k)$ namely $|4 - 2| = 2$ comes to help, for $k = 1$. This standard procedure would run as follows. $P_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, therefore we look for $2P_2$ or P_2 , respectively, in $P(4, a; k) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. This leads in the first case, with odd exponent sum of P_2 , to $2P_2 \geq 6$ or ≥ 2 for $a = 1$ or $a = 3$, respectively, and in the other case to $3P_2 \geq 6$ or ≥ 2 . Because in the first case $P_2 \geq 2$ the case $a = 1$ is not satisfied. In the second case, with $P_2 \geq 4$, there is no problem. Thus one has to treat for $a = 1$ the case $k + 1 = 2$ separately, using the first number 2 in $P(4, 1; 1)$, as announced.

P_1 , the powers of primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (A002144), and P_3 , the powers of primes $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (A002145), are both $\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ (odd). Now one looks for P_1 and P_3 in $\overline{P}(4, a; k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. This is successful because $\overline{P}_{\max}(4, a; k) \geq 2(k + 1) - 4 + a \geq 2P_i - 4 + a$, and one proves $2P_i - 4 + a \geq P_i$, for $i = 1, 3$. For $a = 1$ and $a = 3$ this becomes $P_i \geq 3$ and $P_i \geq 1$, respectively. This is trivial for both P_i because they are ≥ 5 or ≥ 3 for $i = 1$ or 3 , respectively. \square

To finish we discuss the case $d = 9$ in order to explain the general procedure in a more involved show piece.

Proposition 3: $\{z(9, a; k)\}_{k \geq 0}$

The denominators of $z(9, a; k)$ for $a = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$ are all $\text{A038500}(k + 1)$, the highest power of 3 in $k + 1$.

Proof

(i) $P(9, a; k)$ cannot have a divisor 3 because otherwise (from Lemma 3) $(2a)^{k+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, due to $P(9, a; k) = (2a)^{k+1} + 9K = 3L$ with integers K and L . This means that $a^{k+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which implies that 3 has to divide a , contradicting $\gcd(9, a) = 1$. (In passing: the sequences $\{z(9, a; k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ for $a = 3$ and $a = 6$ are integer ones.). Thus the factor $P_3 = 3^{e_3}$ in the prime number factorization of $k + 1$ can never divide $P(9, a; k)$, and this highest power of 3 in $k + 1$ certainly remains in the denominator of $z(9, a; k)$.

As above one has to show that each of the other factors in $k + 1$, i.e., $P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdot P_4 \cdot P_5 \cdot P_7 \cdot P_8$, divide $P(9, a; k)$ (whose modulo 9 congruence property depends on a (see the Table)). P_6 is not present. For these prime sequences see [A061237](#), [A061238](#), [A061239](#), [A061240](#), [A061241](#), [A061242](#).

$P_{\max}(9, a; k) = 9(k + 1) - 2(9 - a)$, and the proofs first check the standard estimates like above.

(ii) Each factor P_i is analysed for the possible mod 9 subfactors. $P_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, $P_2 \equiv 2, 4, 8, 7, 5, 1 \pmod{9}$, $P_4 \equiv 4, 7, 1 \pmod{9}$, $P_5 \equiv 5, 7, 8, 4, 2, 1 \pmod{9}$, $P_7 \equiv 7, 4, 1 \pmod{9}$, $P_8 \equiv 8, 1 \pmod{9}$. For each case the sum of the exponents of the relevant primes mod 9 satisfy a certain congruence condition with the modulus given by the period of $\{i^q\}_{q \geq 1}$ for P_i . E.g., if in $k + 1$ the factor $P_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ then $\sum_{j=1}^{M_2} e_2 j = 4 \pmod{6}$. This subfactor of P_2 will be abbreviated as $P_{2_{4(6)}}$.

(iii) Let each specific $n \pmod{9}$ instance of these P_i sub-factors be collected in $Q(9, n)$. *E.g.*, $Q(9, 4) = \{P_{2(6)}, P_{4(3)}, P_{5(6)}, P_{7(2)}\}$, with the index giving the sum of the exponents modulo the periods in bracket. Each $Q(9, n)$ is treated as a representative for its P_i sub-factors for all possible a values. A number $m(9, n, a)$ is determined such that $m(9, n, a)Q(9, n) \equiv 2a \pmod{9}$. Thus $Q(9, 2) = \{P_{2(6)}, P_{5(6)}\}$ is multiplied by $m(9, 2, a) = a$. $Q(9, 1) = \{P_1, P_{2(6)}, P_{4(3)}, P_{5(6)}, P_{7(3)}, P_{8(2)}\}$ is multiplied by $m(9, 1, a) = 2a \pmod{9}$, *i.e.*, 2, 4, 8, 1, 5, 7. $Q(9, 4)$ needs $m(9, 4, a) = 5, 1, 2, 7, 8, 4$, for the relevant rising $2a$ values. $Q(9, 5)$ needs $m(9, 5, a) = 4, 8, 7, 2, 1, 5$. $Q(9, 7)$ needs $m(9, 7, a) = 8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1$, and $Q(9, 8)$ needs $m(9, 8, a) = 7, 5, 1, 8, 4, 2$.

(iv) Each of these $2a \pmod{9}$ numbers $m(9, n, a)Q(9, n)$ is thus $\geq 2a$, and one checks whether $m(9, n, a)Q(9, n) \leq P_{\max}(9, a; k)$ with $P_{\max}(9, a; k) = 9(k+1) - 2(9-a)$, *i.e.*, $9(k+1) - 2(9-a) \geq 9Q(9, n) - 2(9-a) \geq m(d, n, a)Q(9, n)$.

$$(9 - m(d, n, a))Q(9, n) \geq 2(9 - a). \quad (15)$$

This inequality is checked for each $n = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$ and a with the same values. Sometimes certain low $k+1$ values have to be excluded for certain a values and one has to treat such cases separately.

The case $n = 2$ is trivial because $m(9, 2, a) = a$ and $Q(9, 2) \geq 2$.

For $n = 1$ one has $\{7, 5, 1, 8, 4, 2\}Q(9, 1) \geq 2 \cdot \{8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ (six separate inequalities for each corresponding sequence entry on both sides). With $Q(9, 1) \geq 19$ (from P_1 with exponent sum 1) this is satisfied for each a .

For $Q(9, 4)$ this becomes $\{4, 8, 7, 2, 1, 5\}Q(9, 4) \geq 2 \cdot \{8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$, satisfied because $Q(9, 4) \geq 4$ (from P_2 with exponent sum 2).

For $Q(9, 5) = \{P_{2(6)}, P_{5(6)}\}$ one finds $\{5, 1, 2, 7, 8, 4\}Q(9, 5) \geq 2 \cdot \{8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ with $Q(9, 5) \geq 5$ (from P_5 with exponent sum 1). But now this does not hold for $k \geq 1$ and $a = 2$ because $Q(9, 5) \not\geq 14$ for $k+1 = 5$. In fact, $P(9, 2; 4) = (-5) \cdot 4 \cdot 13 \cdot 22 \cdot 31$ and this is another instance where the first number, here 5, is needed to complete the $Q(9, 5)$ proof for $k+1 = 5$ from P_5 . All six inequalities are satisfied for $k+1 \geq 23$ (the next entry in $Q(9, 5)$, also from P_5 with exponent sum 1) without invoking the first number 5 from $P(9, 2; 4)$.

For $Q(9, 7) = \{P_{2(6)}, P_{4(3)}, P_{5(6)}, P_{7(3)}\}$ one has $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}Q(9, 7) \geq 2 \cdot \{8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ with $Q(9, 7) \geq 7$ (from P_7). Here the case $a = 1$ is not satisfied for all relevant k , because $7 \not\geq 16$. Again, for $k+1 = 7$ one needs the first number 7 of $P(9, 1; 6) = (-7) \cdot 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \cdot 29 \cdot 38 \cdot 47$. The other numbers in $Q(9, 7)$ which are ≥ 16 (from P_2 with exponent sum 4) satisfy eq. (15).

For $Q(9, 8) = \{P_{2(6)}, P_{5(6)}, P_{8(2)}\}$ one has $\{2, 4, 8, 1, 5, 7\}Q(9, 8) \geq 2 \cdot \{8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ with $Q(9, 8) \geq 8$ (from P_2 with exponent sum 3). All inequalities are satisfied. \square

References

- [1] Wolfdieter Lang, Sheffer a - and z -sequences, <https://oeis.org/A006232/a006232.pdf>.
- [2] Wolfdieter Lang, Sums of Powers of Arithmetic Progressions, and Generalized Stirling, Eulerian and Bernoulli Numbers, <http://arXiv.org/abs/1707.04451>, arXiv:math/1707.04451 [math.NT], July 2017, also as a link under <https://oeis.org/A282629>.
- [3] D. Merlini, D.G. Rogers, R. Sprugnoli and M.C. Verri, On some alternative characterizations of Riordan arrays, Can. J. Math, 49(1997)301-320.
- [4] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2010), published electronically at <http://oeis.org>.

- [5] D.G. Rogers, Pascal Triangles, Catalan Numbers and Renewal Arrays, *Discrete Math.* 22(1978)301-310.
- [6] R.Sprugnoli, Riordan arrays and combinatorial sums, *Discrete Math.* 132(1994)267-290.
-

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11A07, 11B37, Secondary 11C08, 11C20

Keywords: Lah numbers, Sheffer number triangles, recurrences, modular arithmetic.

OEIS [4] A-numbers:

[A002144](#), [A002145](#), [A002476](#), [A003627](#), [A038500](#), [A061237](#), [A061238](#), [A061239](#), [A061240](#), [A061241](#), [A061242](#), [A271703](#), [A286724](#), [A290596](#), [A290598](#), [A290599](#), [A290603](#), [A290604](#), [A292219](#), [A292220](#), [A292221](#).

Table: $\{(2a)^{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1} \pmod{d}$

d	a	$\{(2a)^{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1} \pmod{d}$	denominators of $z(d, a; k)$
1	0	{repeat(0)}	1
2	1	{repeat(0)}	1
3	1	{repeat(1, 2)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	2	{repeat(1)}	A038500 (k + 1)
4	1	{repeat(0)}	1
	3	{repeat(0)}	1
5	1	{repeat(4, 3, 1, 2)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	2	{repeat(1, 4)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	3	{repeat(1)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	4	{repeat(4, 2, 1, 3)}	A060904 (k + 1)
6	1	{repeat(4, 2)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	5	{repeat(4)}	A038500 (k + 1)
7	1	{repeat(4, 1, 2)}	A268354 (k + 1)
	2	{repeat(2, 1, 4)}	A268354 (k + 1)
	3	{repeat(1, 6)}	A268354 (k + 1)
	4	{repeat(1)}	A268354 (k + 1)
	5	{repeat(2, 6, 4, 5, 1, 3)}	A268354 (k + 1)
	6	{repeat(4, 6, 2, 3, 1, 5)}	A268354 (k + 1)
8	1	{repeat(4, 0)}	1
	3	{repeat(4, 0)}	1
	5	{repeat(4, 0)}	1
	7	{repeat(4, 0)}	1
9	1	{repeat(4, 8, 7, 5, 1)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	2	{repeat(7, 1, 4)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	4	{repeat(1, 8)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	5	{repeat(1)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	7	{repeat(7, 8, 4, 2, 1, 5)}	A038500 (k + 1)
	8	{repeat(4, 1, 7)}	A038500 (k + 1)
10	1	{repeat(4, 8, 6, 2)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	3	{repeat(6)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	7	{repeat(6, 4)}	A060904 (k + 1)
	9	{repeat(4, 2, 6, 8)}	A060904 (k + 1)

